UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,673	10/08/2004	Katsuyoshi Nagao	040520	6132
	7590 10/23/200 T OS & HANSON , LL	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street, N.W. Suite 400			WIEST, PHILIP R	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
		10/509,673	NAGAO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Phil Wiest	3761			
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication app	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FR - Extensions of time may be available unde after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing d - If NO period for reply is specified above, t - Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 0	OM THE MAILING DA er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ate of this communication. the maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, in three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 66(a). In no event, however, may a rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	ICATION. Teply be timely filed WITHS from the mailing date of this of the MANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status						
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on <i>14 Ju</i>	dv 2008.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is i	n condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is		
closed in accordance wit	h the practice under <i>E</i>	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5-25</u> is/s	are pending in the app	olication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdray	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,3 and 5-25 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are ob	jected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subje	ect to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is object	ted to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>0</u> 8	<u> 3 October 2004</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examir	ner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing shee	t(s) including the correct	on is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of3. Copies of the certified	None of: the priority documents the priority documents fied copies of the prior e International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in a ity documents have bee i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	l Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8922) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ring Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

In the reply filed 7/14/08, applicant amended claim 3. Claims 1, 3, and 5-25 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 9-12, 14-20, and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barney (US 5,462,526) in view of Larkin (US 4,602,910).
- 3. With respect to Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 9-12, 17-20, and 25 Barney discloses a multiple-chamber medical container comprising a container body having multiple chambers (12, 34, 22) separated by partitioning seals to separate the chambers from one another, a medicinal outlet portion 40 for discharging the chambers, and an openable additional small container formed of sheet material located within a chamber and having a medicament therein. The partitioning seal portion is formed by separably bonding opposing inner wall surfaces of the container body. The small container is structured to open in response to external forces and has a bonded portion 64 bonded to the container body. The small container is located almost directly next to the

Application/Control Number: 10/509,673

Art Unit: 3761

partitioning seal (figures 1 and 2), and is heat sealed such that it opens in response to external force. Furthermore, all the sheets in the device of Larkin are multilayer polymeric films.

Page 3

Barney, however, does not disclose that a separably bonded portion 70 of the small container is formed by bonding opposing outer surfaces of the sheet material, wherein the opposing outer surfaces are opposed to the opposing inner surfaces of the container body in the vicinity of the partitioning seal portion.

Larkin discloses a medical container comprising a large chamber 10 surrounding a small medicament container 36, wherein the seal 43 between the chambers is formed by heat sealing the layers such that they are separably bonded. The sheets are made of multilayer film (see Figure 6). The outer surfaces of the small container are bonded to the inner surfaces of the container, such that the small container's seal becomes delaminated when pressure is applied (see Figures 4 and 5). The use of this sealing technique allows a weaker seal to be formed between the inner sheets than between the inner and outer sheets, therefore preventing the container walls from breaking by causing the inner seal to break first (Column 4, Lines 9-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the multiple-chamber medical container of Barney with the delaminating sheet configuration of Larkin in order to allow the inner seal to break at a lower pressure than the outer seals, thereby allowing fluid communication to be established without risking damage to the other seals in the container.

Art Unit: 3761

4. With respect to Claims 14 and 22, Barney discloses an outlet port 40, but does not specifically state that the port comprises a sealing member. However, the figures disclose that the outlet port appears to be a Luer fitting or some other type of port that comprises a valve. Furthermore, Barney discloses that the outlet port is for dispensing the contents of the container *subsequent to* mixing of the medicament (Column 4, Lines 52-61). Therefore, it is the examiner's opinion that Barney provides sufficient motivation to provide a valve or sealing means at the outlet, such that the container does not leak fluid until a proper fluid connection is attached.

- 5. With respect to claims 15 and 23, Barney discloses a plurality of chambers containing diluents and medicaments, but does not specifically disclose the type of medicament used. Larkin discloses a medical container comprising a large container and a small container, said small container holding a medicament that mixes with the fluid in the large container. Larkin further discloses that the medicament may be an antibiotic. The use of powdered antibiotic medicaments is extremely common in the art because it allows them to not be mixed with fluid until necessary. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Barney with the use of an antibiotic medicament of Larkin in order to provide a means for mixing antibiotic solution.
- 6. With respect to Claims 16 and 24, the bag of Barney in view of Larkin is fully capable of being placed inside a bag and arranged in any position. If applicant amends

Application/Control Number: 10/509,673

Art Unit: 3761

the claims to add structure to the bag, the examiner reserves the right to impose a restriction requirement between the bag and the container.

Page 5

7. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barney in view of Larkin, and further in view of Inoue (US 5,423,421). Barney and Larkin disclose the device substantially as claimed, but do not specifically disclose that the seal comprises a plurality of bonded parts arranged with at least one non-bonded part therebetween. Inoue discloses a multichamber container for mixing medical fluids, wherein a small container is fluidly connected to a large container by a separable bonded section. The bonded section is configured such that it becomes delaminated when sufficient pressure is applied (see figure 4). Furthermore, the bonded section comprises a non-bonded portion 9 in the center of the bonded portion. This configuration reduces the amount of sealing that is performed, thereby reducing the strength of the seal to make it easier to open, and eliminates the likelihood that the sealing operation will thermally degrade the medicinal preparations accommodated in the chambers (Column 4, Line 63 through Column 5, Line 37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the medicament mixing device of Barney and Larkin with the unsealed portion of the bonded section of Inoue in order improve the breakability of the seal and prevent heat damage to the medicaments stored within the device.

Art Unit: 3761

8. Claims 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barney in view of Larkin, and further in view of Becker (US 6,319,243). Barney discloses the device substantially as claimed, but does not specifically disclose that the small container is disposed in the same chamber as the outlet portion. Becker discloses a multichamber container for mixing medicaments, wherein a plurality of breakable seals (18, 20) are positioned between the chambers, therefore allowing selective mixing of the chambers (see Figures 1 and 2). Becker further discloses a plurality of outlets (31, 32, 34) (one in each chamber), such that fluid may be selectively drained from any of the chambers. This would allow, for example, fluids from two of the three chambers to be nixed together and drained, without mixing the fluid with the third chamber. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the medical fluid mixing device of Barney and Larkin with Becker's use of a plurality of fluid outlets (i.e. one in each chamber), such that fluids could be mixed and drained in a variety of ways. It may not always be desirable to mix all the medicaments together, and placing an outlet in the same chamber as the smaller chamber would allow those fluids to be selectively mixed.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 7/14/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that it would not have been obvious to modify the multiple-chamber fluid bag of Barney with the bonded small container configuration of

Art Unit: 3761

Larkin because the resulting combination would lack a partitioning seal portion. Examiner disagrees.

Larkin teaches a multiple-chamber fluid bag comprising a plurality of containers (14, 18) and a small container (36, 37) disposed inside one of the larger containers (see Figures 3-6). The small container has a bonded portion that is bonded to the container body in a manner such that the outer surfaces of the small container are bonded to the inner surfaces of the larger containers. Additionally, the small container is bonded to the large containers where a partitioning seal is formed between the two larger containers (see Figures 3-6). Therefore, Larkin clearly suggests the seal configuration as suggested by applicant. It is the examiner's opinion that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated to modify the multiple-chamber medical bag of Barney with the seal configuration of Larkin in order to provide a wellknown, alternate means for mixing a plurality of medical fluids because Larkin's configuration allows the inner seal to break at a lower pressure than the outer seals, thereby allowing fluid communication to be established without risking damage to the other seals in the container. Such a modification would have been merely an obvious engineering choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3761

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phil Wiest whose telephone number is (571)272-3235. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Phil Wiest/ Examiner, Art Unit 3761

//Leslie R. Deak//
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3761
21 October 2008